CSCI 136 Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Lecture 10

Fall 2018

Instructors: Bill & Bill

Last Time

- Mathematical Induction
 - For algorithm run-time and correctness
- More About Recursion
 - Recursion on arrays; helper methods

Today's Outline

- Finish Binary Search & Induction
- Basic Sorting
 - Bubble, Insertion, Selection Sorts
 - Including proofs of correctness
- The Comparable Interface

Example: Binary Search

- Given an array a[] of positive integers in increasing order, and an integer x, find location of x in a[].
 - Take "indexOf" approach: return I if x is not in a[]

Binary Search takes O(log n) Time

Can we use induction to prove this?

- Claim: If n = high low + 1, then recBinSearch
 performs at most c (1+ log n) operations, where c is
 twice the number of statements in recBinSearch
- Base case: n = 1: Then low = high so only c statements execute (method runs twice) and c ≤ c(1+log 1)
- Assume that claim holds for some n ≥ 1, does it hold for n+1? [Note: n+1 > 1, so low < high]
- Problem: Recursive call is not on n——it's on n/2.
- Solution: We need a better version of the PMI···.

Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Let P(0), P(1), P(2), ... Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that, for some $k \ge 0$

- 1. P(0), P(1), ..., P(k) are true, and
- 2. For all $n \ge k$, whenever P(1), P(2), ..., P(n) are true, then so is P(n+1).

Then all of the statements are true!

Binary Search takes O(log n) Time

Try again now:

- Assume that for some n ≥ 1, the claim holds for all k ≤ n, does claim hold for n+1?
- Yes! Either
 - x = a[mid], so a constant number of operations are performed, or
 - RecBinSearch is called on a sub-array of size at most n/2, and by induction, at most c(1 + log (n/2)) operations are performed.
 - This gives a total of at most $c + c(1 + \log(n/2)) = 2c + c \log(n/2)$ = $2c + c(\log n - \log 2) = c(1 + \log n)$ statements

Notes on Induction

- Whenver induction is needed, strong induction can be used
- The numbering of the propositions doesn't need to start at 0
- The number of base cases depends on the problem at hand
 - Enough are needed to guarantee that the smallest nonbase case can be proven using only the base cases

Bubble Sort

- First Pass:
 - $(5 \underline{1} 3 2 9) \rightarrow (\underline{1} 5 3 2 9)$
 - $(15329) \rightarrow (13529)$
 - $(13529) \rightarrow (13259)$
 - $(13259) \rightarrow (13259)$
- Second Pass:
 - $(13259) \rightarrow (13259)$
 - $(13259) \rightarrow (12359)$
 - $(12359) \rightarrow (12359)$

- Third Pass:
 - (**1** <u>2</u> 3 5 9) -> (**1** <u>2</u> 3 5 9)
 - (| 2 <u>3</u> 5 9) -> (| 2 <u>3</u> 5 9)
- Fourth Pass:
 - (**1** <u>2</u> 3 5 9) -> (**1** <u>2</u> 3 5 9)

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lyZQPjUT5B4 http://www.visualgo.net/sorting

Sorting Intro: Bubble Sort

- Simple sorting algorithm that works by repeatedly stepping through the list to be sorted, comparing two items at a time and swapping them if they are in the wrong order
- Repeated until no swaps are needed
- Gets its name from the way larger elements "bubble" to the end of the list
- Time complexity?
 - O(n²)
- Space complexity?
 - O(n) total (no additional space is required)
- Let's write it!

Sorting Intro: Insertion Sort

• 5	7	0	3	4	2	6	I
• 5	7	0	3	4	2	6	I
• 0	5	7	3	4	2	6	I
• 0	3	5	7	4	2	6	I
• 0	3	4	5	7	2	6	I
• 0	2	3	4	5	7	6	I
• 0	2	3	4	5	6	7	ı
• 0		2	3	4	5	6	7

http://www.visualgo.net/sorting

Sorting Intro: Insertion Sort

- Simple sorting algorithm that works by building a sorted list one entry at a time
- Less efficient on large lists than more advanced algorithms
- Advantages:
 - Simple to implement and efficient on small lists
 - Efficient on data sets which are already mostly sorted
- Time complexity
 - O(n²)
- Space complexity
 - O(n)

Sorting Intro: Selection Sort

http://www.visualgo.net/sorting
 (demo is "min" version)

```
II 3 27 5 16
II 3 16 5 <u>27</u>
II 3 5 <u>16 27</u>
5 3 <u>11 16 27</u>
3 5 11 16 27
```

- Time Complexity:
 - O(n²)
- Space Complexity:
 - O(n)

Sorting Intro: Selection Sort

- Similar to insertion sort
- Noted for its simplicity and performance advantages when compared to complicated algorithms
- The algorithm works as follows:
 - Find the maximum value in the list
 - Swap it with the value in the last position
 - Repeat the steps above for remainder of the list (ending at the second to last position)

Selection sort uses two utility methods

Uses a swap method

```
private static void swap(int[]A, int i, int j) {
    int temp = a[i];
    A[i] = A[j];
    A[j] = temp;
}
```

And a max-finding method

An Iterative Selection Sort

```
public static void selectionSort(int[] A) {
       for(int i = A.length - 1; i>0; i--)
           int big= findPosOfMax(A,i);
           swap(A, i, big);
A Recursive Selection Sort (just the helper method)
public static void recSSHelper(int[] A, int last) {
       if(last == 0) return; // base case
       int big= findPosOfMax(A, last);
       swap(A,big,last);
       recSSHelper(A, last-1);
```

- Prove: recSSHelper (A, last) sorts elements A[0]...A[last].
 - Assume that maxLocation(A, last) is correct
- Proof:
 - Base case: last = 0.
 - Induction Hypothesis:
 - For k<last, recSSHelper sorts A[0]...A[k].
 - Prove for last:
 - Note: Using Second Principle of Induction (Strong)

- After call to findPosOfMax(A, last):
 - 'big' is location of largest A[0..last]
- That value is swapped with A[last]:
 - Rest of elements are A[0]..A[last-1].
- Since last 1< last, then by induction
 - recSSHelper(A, last-1) sorts A[0]..A[last-1].
- Thus A[0]..A[last-1] are in increasing order
 - and $A[last-1] \leq A[last]$.
- So, A[0]···A[last] are sorted.

Making Sorting Generic

- We need comparable items
- Unlike with equality testing, the Object class doesn't define a "compare()" method
- We want a uniform way of saying objects can be compared, so we can write generic versions of methods like binary search
- Use an interface!
- Two approaches
 - Comparable interface
 - Comparator interface

Comparable Interface

- Java provides an interface for comparisons between objects
 - Provides a replacement for "<" and ">" in recBinarySearch
- Java provides the Comparable interface, which specifies a method compareTo()
 - Any class that implements Comparable must provide compareTo()

```
public interface Comparable<T> {
    //post: return < 0 if this smaller than other
        return 0 if this equal to other
        return > 0 if this greater than other
        int compareTo(T other);
}
```

Comparable Interface

- Many Java-provided classes implement Comparable
 - String (alphabetical order)
 - Wrapper classes: Integer, Character, Boolean
 - All Enum classes
- We can write methods that work on any type that implements Comparable
 - Example: RecBinSearch.java and BinSearchComparable.java

compareTo in Card Example

We could write

```
public class CardRankSuit implements
      Comparable<CardRankSuit> {
  public int compareTo(CardRankSuit other) {
      if (this.getSuit() != other.getSuit())
         return getSuit().compareTo(other.Suit());
      else
         return getRank().compareTo(other.getRank());
// rest of code for the class....
```

Comparable & compareTo

- The Comparable interface (Comparable<T>) is part of the java.lang (not structure5) package.
- Other Java-provided structures can take advantage of objects that implement Comparable
 - See the Arrays class in java.util
 - Example JavaArraysBinSearch
- Users of Comparable are urged to ensure that compareTo() and equals() are consistent. That is,
 - x.compareTo(y) == 0 exactly when x.equals(y) == true
- Note that Comparable limits user to a single ordering
- The syntax can get kind of dense
 - See BinSearchComparable.java: a generic binary search method
 - And even more cumbersome....