	Announcements
CSCI 334: Principles of Programming Languages	
Lecture 20: Scala II	HW9
Instructor: Dan Barowy Williams	

Type Upper Bounds

Box should contain a specific Fruit (not Fruit in general)

trait Fruit

```
trait Box[F <: Fruit] {
  def fruit: F
  def contains(aFruit: Fruit) = fruit == aFruit
}</pre>
```

Type Upper Bounds

Box should contain a specific Fruit (not Fruit in general)

```
trait Fruit
trait Box[F <: Fruit] {
  def fruit: F</pre>
```

```
def contains(aFruit: Fruit) = fruit == aFruit
}
```

class Apple extends Fruit

```
class AppleBox(apple: Apple) extends Box[Apple] {
  def fruit = apple
}
```

Type Upper Bounds

class Orange extends Fruit

val o = new Orange val abox = new AppleBox(o)

<console>:13: error: type mismatch; found : Orange required: Apple val abox = new AppleBox(o)

Good!

Covariance

Apple <: Fruit, so we can do this:

val a = new Apple
val f: Fruit = a

scala> val f: Fruit = a
f: Fruit = Apple@4e61a863

But we can't do this. Why not?!

val abox = new AppleBox(a)

scala> val box: Box[Fruit] = abox <console>:14: error: type mismatch; found : AppleBox required: Box[Fruit] Note: Apple <: Fruit (and AppleBox <: Box[Apple]), but trait Box is invariant in type F. You may wish to define F as +F instead. (SLS 4.5) val box: Box[Fruit] = abox

Covariance Covariance trait Box[+F <: Fruit] {</pre> def fruit: F What we want: def contains (aFruit: Fruit) = fruit == aFruit } F <: Fruit class AppleBox(a: Apple) extends Box[Apple] { def fruit = aBox[F] <: Box[Fruit] Now it works: This is not true in Scala by default scala> val abox = new AppleBox(new Apple) abox: AppleBox = AppleBox@38d895e8 (but the fix is simple) scala> val box: Box[Fruit] = abox box: Box[Fruit] = AppleBox@38d895e8

Type Constructors

What is a type constructor anyway?

Basically: a function that produces new objects.

We get them "for free" when we define classes.

scala> class Apple extends Fruit
defined class Apple

```
scala> new Apple
res1: Apple = Apple@77c41838
```

Or when we explicitly provide definitions for them.

class AppleBox(a: Apple) extends Box[Apple] {

```
} ..
```

scala> val abox = new AppleBox(new Apple)
abox: AppleBox = AppleBox@38d895e8

Type Constructors

E.g., for the AppleBox class:

class AppleBox(a: Apple) extends Box[Apple] {

The type of the constructor is:

Apple -> AppleBox

Type Constructor Polymorphism

We already know that generic functions are useful:

def chooseFruit[F <: Fruit](pair: (F,F)) = pair._1</pre>

```
scala> chooseFruit((new Apple, new Apple))
res2: Apple = Apple055e073c8
```

What about generic constructors?

Type Constructor Polymorphism

Let's build a Truck that carries Fruit boxes.

What parameter should we put here? What if we instead write:

```
class Truck[B <: Box[Fruit]](boxes: List[B]) {
  def honk = "HONK!"
}</pre>
```

Type Constructor Polymorphism

Seems to work...

```
scala> val abox = new AppleBox(new Apple)
abox: AppleBox = AppleBox@325f9758
```

```
scala> val obox = new OrangeBox(new Orange)
obox: OrangeBox = OrangeBox@16f453c9
```

```
scala> val t = new Truck(List(abox, obox))
t: Truck[Box[Fruit]] = Truck@15804891
```

But wait... Truck now takes type parameters. Do we

really care what kind of Box the Truck carries?

Type Constructor Polymorphism: Kinds

Instead, we need to say that we don't care about the type of Fruit:

import scala.language.higherKinds

```
class Truck[Box[_ <: Fruit]](boxes: List[Box[_]]) {
  def honk = "HONK!"
}</pre>
```

def honker(t: Truck[Box]) = t.honk

scala> def honker(t: Truck[Box]) = t.honk
honker: (t: Truck[Box])String

scala> honker(t)
res4: String = HONK!

Existential Types

But actually... we could go even further. Isn't there

really just one kind of Truck? They all carry boxes.

```
class Truck(boxes: List[Box[_]]) {
  def honk = "HONK!"
}
```

```
def honker(t: Truck) = t.honk
```

```
scala> val t = new Truck(List(abox, obox))
t: Truck = Truck@4b186d43
```

```
scala> honker(t)
res5: String = HONK!
```

One Weird Type Trick

We used generics when creating AppleBox before. We

could have used a type variable instead.

```
trait Box {
   type F <: Fruit
   def fruit: F
   def contains(aFruit: Fruit) = fruit == aFruit
   }
   class AppleBox(a: Apple) extends Box {
   type F = Apple
   def fruit = a
   }
   It plays nice without covariance annotations because
   we never had to specify a generic parameter to box.
      scala> val box: Box = new AppleBox(new Apple)
      box: Box = AppleBox@611c3eae
```

Implicit Conversions

Implicit conversions are common in many languages.

Here's a simple demonstration in Ruby:

def foo(i) i / 2.0 end

a = 1 b = foo(a)

puts a.class // prints "Fixnum"
puts b.class // prints "Float"

Implicit Conversions

Scala gives you precise control of implicit conversions.

Suppose I want to be able to write the following:

scala> 1.repeat(10)

and get

res4: List[Int] = List(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

How would I make this happen?

Implicit Conversions

You have to put methods in a classes... somewhere.

```
class BetterInt(i: Int) {
   def repeat(n: Int): List[Int] = List.fill(n)(i)
}
```

But this isn't quite what we want:

```
scala> val b = new BetterInt(1)
b: BetterInt = BetterInt@335896bd
```

```
scala> b.repeat(10)
res4: List[Int] = List(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)
```

Implicit Conversions

Implicit conversions tells Scala that it's OK to silently

convert Int to BetterInt.

As usual, we have to enable the feature first:

scala> import scala.language.implicitConversions
import scala.language.implicitConversions

Define the conversion:

scala> implicit def Int2BetterInt(i: Int) = new BetterInt(i)
Int2BetterInt: (i: Int)BetterInt

Now we can do what we want:

scala> 1.repeat(10)
res5: List[Int] = List(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

