

## CSCI 136 Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Jeannie Albrecht  
Lecture 11  
Mar 5, 2014

### Administrative Details

- Lab 4 is today
  - Extend Vector to sort with a Comparator
  - More details on next slide...
- Midterm during lab next Wednesday (3/12)
  - Can everyone start at 1:00? Should be finished by 3:00.
  - Lab 5 will still be posted next week but is **optional**
    - Extra credit opportunity
  - Covers book, lab, lecture material through next Monday
  - I will post a sample exam and a study guide

2

### Lab 4 Details

- Lab 4
  - Extend Vector to sort with a Comparator (described in textbook)
  - Create Student class and read in data into Vector of Students
  - Create Comparators to sort students in different ways (name, phone, mailbox, etc)
  - You might want to use a ComparableAssociation at some point
    - Same as normal Association, but has compareTo
  - I was unable to update the phone book data ☹

3

### Last Time

- Discussed searching
  - Linear searching
  - Binary searching

4

### Today's Outline

- Wrap up searching
- Briefly learn about Comparables and Comparators
- Discuss sorting algorithms
- High-level Goals
  - Understand sorting algorithms
  - Understand the tradeoffs between algorithms
  - Learn how to prove properties of recursive programs using induction

5

### Recap: Binary Search

- Find a name in the phonebook
- Guess a number between 1 and 100
- These are examples of binary search
- Why does it work?
  - Rule out as much of search space as possible with each guess
- What assumption (about the data) does it rely on?
- Is it recursive? Let's look at the code...
- <http://www.cs.williams.edu/~jeannie/cs136/lectures/lecture9/SortSearchDemo/>

6

## Binary Search

- Complexity:
  - Each recursive call takes at most 2 array comparisons
  - So how many calls when  $n=2^k$ ? (list size is a power of 2...)  
Size of list during recursion:  $[2^k, 2^{k-1}, \dots, 2^0, 0]$   
→  $k+1$  calls with 2 comparisons each  
→  $2(k+1) = 2(\log n + 1) = O(\log n)$  for list of size  $n$
- Show: `recBinarySearch` takes  $2(\log n + 1)$  comparisons. (Assume list size is power of 2...)
- Overall  $O(\log n)$  comparisons
- Worst case:  $2(\log n + 1)$
- Can we reduce the number of comparisons?
  - Food for thought: `BinSearchAlt.java`

7

## Is this version better?

- Worst case (element not found):
  - $\log n + 1$  comparisons vs.  $2(\log n + 1)$  comparisons
  - Twice as good!
- Average case
  - Only slight improvement in most cases
- Best case
  - We now continue to recurse even if `a[mid] == value` right away
  - This is actually worse than before...

8

## Linear vs. Binary Search

- Which is better?
  - Linear is  $O(n)$  in average case
  - Binary is  $O(\log n)$
- So binary is better?? Yes, but...
- Binary search requires ordering (i.e., pre-sorted data). We need the "<" and ">" operators
  - Some objects already have these operators (ints, Strings)
  - We want a uniform way of saying objects can be compared...
  - Make an interface! (Comparable interface)

## Comparable Interface

- We want to define an interface for handling comparisons between objects
  - We need a general method for "<" and ">" in `recBinarySearch`
- As long as our objects implement `Comparable`, we know we can safely call "`compareTo`" (required for binary search)
- See `BinSearchComparable.java`

```
public interface Comparable {
    //post: return < 0 if smaller than other
    //       return 0 is equal to other
    //       return > 0 if greater than other
    int compareTo(Object other);
}
```

## compareTo in Card.java

```
public class Card implements Comparable {
    public int compareTo(Object obj) {
        Card other = (Card) obj;
        if (suit != other.getSuit()) {
            return suit - other.getSuit();
        }
        return rank - other.getRank();
    }
}
```

- Note: The magnitude of the values returned is not important. We only care if it's +, -, or 0!
- `compareTo` defines a natural ordering of Objects
- Can also use parameterized data types to avoid casting
- In lab this week, you'll explore another way to compare objects using `Comparators`

## Comparators

- Limitations with `Comparable` interface
  - Only permits one order between objects
  - What if it isn't the desired ordering?
  - What if it isn't implemented?
- Solution: `Comparators`

12

## Comparators (Ch 6.8)

- A comparator is an object that contains a method that is capable of comparing two objects
- Sorting methods can apply a comparator to two objects when a comparison is to be performed
- Different comparators can be applied to the same data to sort in different orders or on different keys

```
public interface Comparator <E> {
    // pre: a and b are valid objects, likely of similar type
    // post: returns a value <, =, or > than 0
    // if a is less than, equal to, or greater than b
    public int compare(E a, E b);
}
```

13

## Example

```
class Patient {
    protected int age;
    protected String name;
    public Patient (String s, int a) {name = s; age = a;}
    public String getName() { return name; }
    public int getAge() {return age;}
}

class NameComparator implements Comparator <Patient>{
    public int compare(Patient a, Patient b) {
        return a.getName().compareTo(b.getName());
    }
}

public void recSelSort(T a[], int last, Comparator<T> c) {
    if (c.compare(a[i], a[max]) > 0) {--}
}

recSelSort(patients, n, new NameComparator());
```

Note that Patient does not implement Comparable or Comparator!

14

## Sorting

## Different Types of Sorting

- Bubble sort
- Insertion sort
- Selection sort
- Merge sort
- Quick sort

## Bubble Sort

- Simple sorting algorithm that works by repeatedly stepping through the list to be sorted, comparing two items at a time and swapping them if they are in the wrong order
- Repeated until no swaps are needed
- Gets its name from the way smaller elements "bubble" to the front of the list
- Time complexity?
  - $O(n^2)$
- Space complexity?
  - $O(n)$  total (no additional space is required)

## Bubble Sort

- First Pass:
  - ( 5 1 3 2 9) → ( 1 5 3 2 9)
  - ( 1 5 3 2 9) → ( 1 3 5 2 9)
  - ( 1 3 5 2 9) → ( 1 3 2 5 9)
  - ( 1 3 2 5 9) → ( 1 3 2 5 9)
- Second Pass:
  - ( 1 3 2 5 9) → ( 1 3 2 5 9)
  - ( 1 3 2 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)
  - ( 1 2 3 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)
  - ( 1 2 3 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)
- Third Pass:
  - ( 1 2 3 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)
  - ( 1 2 3 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)
  - ( 1 2 3 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)
  - ( 1 2 3 5 9) → ( 1 2 3 5 9)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lyZQPjUT5B4>

## Insertion Sort

- Simple sorting algorithm that works by building a sorted list one entry at a time
- Less efficient on large lists than more advanced sorting algorithms
- Advantages:
  - Simple to implement and efficient on small lists
  - Efficient on data sets which are already substantially sorted
- Time complexity
  - $O(n^2)$
- Space complexity
  - $O(n)$

19

## Insertion Sort

```

• 5 7 0 3 4 2 6 |
• 5 7 0 3 4 2 6 |
• 0 5 7 3 4 2 6 |
• 0 3 5 7 4 2 6 |
• 0 3 4 5 7 2 6 |
• 0 2 3 4 5 7 6 |
• 0 2 3 4 5 6 7 |
• 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

```

20