RAID: (Redundant?) Arrays of Inexpensive Disks

CSCI 333
Spring 2019

Logistics

Final Project

- Proposals
- Resources

Last Class

Introduction to Deduplication

- Big Picture Ideas
- Design choices and tradeoffs
- Open questions

This Class

Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks

- Three “techniques”
  - Striping
  - Mirroring
  - Parity
- Three evaluation criteria
  - Performance
  - Reliability
  - Capacity
- Failure Model
  - Fail-stop
- RAID Levels

RAID from the user's view

Hardware RAID is *transparent* to the user

- An array of disks are connected to the computer, and the computer sees a single logical disk
- Nothing about the RAID setup is externally visible: it's just a single LBA space that appears and acts as one device



Why?

Why masquerade a set of N>1 disks as a single volume of storage? What types of things might we want to improve?

- Capacity
  - we may just want to store more data than fits on a single disk, but not change our software to manage multiple physical devices
- Performance (parallelism and/or choice)
  - a single disk has one disk arm, so it can read from one location at a time. N disks have N disk arms -> can parallelize some operations
- Recovery
  - if all of our data is on a single disk, we are extremely vulnerable to any disk failures
  - if our data is on N disks, we may not lose everything if we lose 1 disk

Capacity

Suppose we have an array of 2 disks, each capable of storing L logical blocks.

- Let's partition the LBAs as follows:



0—(L-1) L—(2L-1)

- What is the capacity?
- What is the performance?
- How many disk failures can we survive?

Capacity

Suppose we have an array of 2 disks, each capable of storing L logical blocks.

- Let's partition the LBAs as follows:





0,2,4,…,(2L-2)

1,3,5,…,(2L-1)

- What is the capacity?
- What is the performance?
- How many di

Striping adds parallelism to *sequential writes*

Aside: Chunks

How to best "stripe" the data?

- Previous slide has chunk size of 1
- What are the tradeoffs of increasing the chunk size (the number of consecutive LBAs per disk in a stripe)?

Reliability

In addition to performance, we may use extra disks to increase the reliability of our storage

- Disks fail for a variety of reasons
- We want to be able to undergo one (or more) disk failures without losing data
- If possible, we also want to preserve/improve performance

How Can Disks Fail?

RAID assumes disks are fail-stop

- If there is an error, we can detect the error immediately
- Simple state machine: either the entire disk works, or the entire disk has failed



Reality

What other classes of errors could possibly exist?

- Failures can be transient
  - e.g., a temporary error that fixes itself
- Failures can be unreliable
  - e.g., sometimes an error is returned, sometimes the correct answer
- Failures can be partial
  - e.g., a single sector or range of sectors become unusable

Disk losses may be correlated

- If your power supply goes, it may take all disks with it
- Flood/fire?
- Theft?

RAID doesn't attempt to handle these errors

Redundancy: Mirroring

Suppose we have an array of 2 disks, each capable of storing L logical blocks.

- Let's partition the LBAs as follows:



0—(L-1) 0—(L-1)

- What is the capacity?
- What is the performance?
- How many disk errors can we survive?

Bitwise XOR

Rule: Count the number of 1s, and

- If the number of 1s is odd, the parity bit is 1
- If the number of 1s is even, the parity bit is 0

To extend the idea to disk blocks:

- bitwise XOR the i^{th} bit of each block; result is the i^{th} bit of the parity block

Example:

2 Bits:
XOR(1,1) = 0
XOR(0,1) = 1
XOR(1,0) = 1
XOR(0,0) = 0

3 Bits:
XOR(1,1,1) = 1
XOR(1,1,0) = 0
XOR(1,0,1) = 0
XOR(0,1,1) = 0
XOR(1,0,0) = 1
XOR(0,0,1) = 1
XOR(0,1,0) = 1
XOR(0,0,0) = 0

Redundancy: Parity

Suppose we have an array of 3 disks, each capable of storing L logical blocks.

- Let's partition the LBAs as follows:



- What is the capacity?
- What is the performance?
- How many disk errors can we survive?

Other Considerations

You can combine some RAID levels in fun ways

RAID 10 vs. RAID 01





Other Considerations

You can combine some RAID ideas in fun ways

RAID 4 vs. RAID 5

1	2	3	P0
4	5	6	P1
7	8	9	P2
10	11	12	P3

1	2	3	P0
4	5	P1	6
7	P2	8	9
P3	10	11	12

Why RAID

It is a relatively straightforward concept, but practical and very useful

The ideas can be applied to distributed storage and other environments (e.g., think of "nodes" as disks)

I felt bad letting you leave without knowing about RAID

- But most of the concepts can be reasoned about on the fly
  - You should remember **mirroring**, **striping**, and **parity**, not Level 0, Level 1, Level 4, Level 5.
  - (Remind your interviewers that you are there to think not to memorize)
- Other levels are less common, but common sense. Explore!

Final Project

Review your proposal with your partners. Any questions for me? Any deliverables from me (templates, hardware, panic setup, etc.)?

Make sure you list concrete definitions of success This is our contract for how your project will be evaluated.

- Functionality
- Deliverables
- Contents of write-ups

(If time) pitch your proposal to another group