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## Last Time

- Binary Tree Traversals
- Binary Tree Iterators
- Array representation of trees
- Node i's children: $2 i+1,2 i+2$
- Node i's parent: (i-I)/2
- Good for full or complete trees
- Wasted space if tree is sparse or unbalanced


## Today

- Breadth-First and Depth-First Search
- Application: Huffman Encoding
- Priority Queues
- Heaps


## Tree Traversals

Recall from last class:

- In-order: "left, node, right"
- Pre-order: "node, left, right"
- Post-order: "left, right, node"
- Level-order: visit all nodes at depth i before depth $\mathrm{i}+1$


## Traversals \& Searching

- We can use traversals for searching unordered trees
- How might we search a tree for a value?
- Breadth-First: Explore nodes near the root before nodes far away (level order traversal)
- Find the nearest gas station
- Depth-First: Explore nodes deep in the tree first (post-order traversal)
- Solution to a maze
- Go as far as you can until you hit a dead end, then choose a different branch (Maze video)


## Next up: Huffman Codes

- Computers encode a text as a sequence of bits ASCII TABLE

| Decimal | Hex | Char | Decimal | Hex | Char | Decimal | Hex | Char | Decimal | Hex | Char |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | [NULL] | 32 | 20 | [SPACE] | 64 | 40 | @ | 96 | 60 | - |
| 1 | 1 | [START OF HEADING] | 33 | 21 | ! | 65 | 41 | A | 97 | 61 | a |
| 2 | 2 | [START OF TEXT] | 34 | 22 | " | 66 | 42 | B | 98 | 62 | b |
| 3 | 3 | [END OF TEXT] | 35 | 23 | \# | 67 | 43 | C | 99 | 63 | c |
| 4 | 4 | [END OF TRANSMISSION] | 36 | 24 | \$ | 68 | 44 | D | 100 | 64 | d |
| 5 | 5 | [ENQUIRY] | 37 | 25 | \% | 69 | 45 | E | 101 | 65 | e |
| 6 | 6 | [ACKNOWLEDGE] | 38 | 26 | \& | 70 | 46 | F | 102 | 66 | $f$ |
| 7 | 7 | [BELL] | 39 | 27 | ' | 71 | 47 | G | 103 | 67 | g |
| 8 | 8 | [BACKSPACE] | 40 | 28 | 1 | 72 | 48 | H | 104 | 68 | h |
| 9 | 9 | [HORIZONTAL TAB] | 41 | 29 | ) | 73 | 49 | I | 105 | 69 | i |
| 10 | A | [LINE FEED] | 42 | 2A | * | 74 | 4A | J | 106 | 6A | j |
| 11 | B | [VERTICAL TAB] | 43 | 2B | + | 75 | 4B | K | 107 | 6B | k |
| 12 | C | [FORM FEED] | 44 | 2C | , | 76 | 4C | L | 108 | 6C | I |
| 13 | D | [CARRIAGE RETURN] | 45 | 2D | - | 77 | 4D | M | 109 | 6D | m |
| 14 | E | [SHIFT OUT] | 46 | 2E | . | 78 | 4E | N | 110 | 6E | n |
| 15 | F | [SHIFT IN] | 47 | 2 F | 1 | 79 | 4F | 0 | 111 | 6 F | 0 |
| 16 | 10 | [DATA LINK ESCAPE] | 48 | 30 | 0 | 80 | 50 | P | 112 | 70 | p |
| 17 | 11 | [DEVICE CONTROL 1] | 49 | 31 | 1 | 81 | 51 | Q | 113 | 71 | q |
| 18 | 12 | [DEVICE CONTROL 2] | 50 | 32 | 2 | 82 | 52 | R | 114 | 72 | r |
| 19 | 13 | [DEVICE CONTROL 3] | 51 | 33 | 3 | 83 | 53 | S | 115 | 73 | 5 |
| 20 | 14 | [DEVICE CONTROL 4] | 52 | 34 | 4 | 84 | 54 | T | 116 | 74 | t |
| 21 | 15 | [NEGATIVE ACKNOWLEDGE] | 53 | 35 | 5 | 85 | 55 | U | 117 | 75 | u |
| 22 | 16 | [SYNCHRONOUS IDLE] | 54 | 36 | 6 | 86 | 56 | V | 118 | 76 | v |
| 23 | 17 | [ENG OF TRANS. BLOCK] | 55 | 37 | 7 | 87 | 57 | W | 119 | 77 | w |
| 24 | 18 | [CANCEL] | 56 | 38 | 8 | 88 | 58 | X | 120 | 78 | x |
| 25 | 19 | [END OF MEDIUM] | 57 | 39 | 9 | 89 | 59 | Y | 121 | 79 | y |
| 26 | 1A | [SUBSTITUTE] | 58 | 3A | : | 90 | 5A | Z | 122 | 7A | z |
| 27 | 1B | [ESCAPE] | 59 | 3B | ; | 91 | 5B | [ | 123 | 7B | \{ |
| 28 | 1 C | [FILE SEPARATOR] | 60 | 3 C | $<$ | 92 | 5C | 1 | 124 | 7 C | 1 |
| 29 | 1D | [GROUP SEPARATOR] | 61 | 3D | = | 93 | 5D | ] | 125 | 7D | \} |
| 30 | 1E | [RECORD SEPARATOR] | 62 | 3E | $>$ | 94 | 5E | ヘ | 126 | 7E | $\sim$ |
| 31 | 1 F | [UNIT SEPARATOR] | 63 | 3 F | ? | 95 | 5 F | - | 127 | 7F | [DEL] |

## Huffman Codes

- In ASCII: I character = 8 bits (I byte)
- Allows for $2^{8}=256$ different characters
- 'A' = 0100000I, 'B' = 01000010
- Space to store "AN_ANTARCTIC_PENGUIN"
- 20 characters $->20 * 8$ bits $=160$ bits
- Is there a better way?
- Only II symbols are used (ANTRCIPEGU_)
- "ASCII-lite" only needs 4 bits per symbol (since $2^{4}>$ II)!
- $20 * 4=80$ bits instead of 160 !
- Can we still do better??


## Huffman Codes

- A Huffman code is an optimal prefix code for lossless compression
- Compression: data is converted to a format that takes up less space than the original
- Lossless: all of the information in the original data is preserved in the compressed version
- Prefix code: a variable-length encoding where no codeword is a prefix of another codeword
- Our goal is to take a string and represent it using the smallest number of bits we can, without losing any information about the original string.


## Huffman Codes

- Example
- AN_ANTARCTIC_PENGUIN
- Compute letter frequencies

- Key Idea: Use fewer bits for most common letters

| $A$ | $C$ | $E$ | $G$ |  | $N$ | $P$ | $R$ | $T$ | $U$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 110 | 111 | 1011 | 1000 | 000 | 001 | 1001 | 1010 | 0101 | 0100 | 011 |

- Uses 67 bits to encode entire string


## The Encoding Tree



Left $=0 ;$ Right $=1$

## Huffman Encoding Algorithm

Input: symbols of alphabet with frequencies

- Huffman encode algorithm is as follows:
- Create a single-node tree for each symbol: key is frequency; weight is letter
- while there is more than one tree:
- Find two trees $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ with lowest weights
- Merge them into new tree $T$ with:

$$
\text { T.weight }=\mathrm{T}_{1} \cdot \text { weight+ } \mathrm{T}_{2} \cdot \text { weigth }
$$

- Theorem: The tree computed by Huffman is an optimal encoding for given frequencies


## Demo

- To run the Huffman code demo found on course webpage:
java -jar huffman.jar


## The Encoding Tree (With Weights)



$$
\text { Left }=0 ; \text { Right }=1
$$

*Each node's value is the sum of the frequencies of all its children

## Implementing the Algorithm

- Keep a Vector of Binary Trees
- Sort them by decreasing frequency
- Removing two smallest frequency trees is fast
- Insert merged tree into correct sorted location in Vector
- Running Time:
- $O(n \log n)$ for initial sorting
- $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ for while loop
- Can we do better...?


## What Huffman Encoder Needs

- A structure $S$ to hold items with priorities
- $S$ should support operations
- add(E item); // add an item
- E removeMin(); // remove min priority item
- S should be designed to make these two operations fast
- If, say, they both ran in $O(\log n)$ time, the Huffman while loop would take $O(n \log n)$ time instead of $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ !


## Priority Queues

- Name is misleading: They are not FIFO
- Always dequeue object with highest priority (smallest rank) regardless of when it was enqueued
- Data can be received/inserted in any order, but it is always returned/removed according to priority
- Like ordered structures (i.e., OrderedVectors and OrderedLists), PQs require comparisons of values


## Priority Queues

- Priority queues are also used for:
- Scheduling processes in an operating system
- Priority is function of time lost + process priority
- Order services on server
- Backup is low priority, so don't do when high priority tasks need to happen
- Scheduling future events in a simulation
- Medical waiting room
- Huffman codes - order by tree size/weight
- A variety of graph/network algorithms
- To roughly rank choices that are generated out of order


## An Apology

- On behalf of computer scientists everywhere, we'd like to apologize for the confusion that inevitably results from the fact that:

Higher Priority == Lower Rank

- The PQ removes the lowest ranked value in an ordering: that is, the highest priority value!

We're sorry!

## PQ Interface

```
public interface PriorityQueue<E extends Comparable<E>> {
    public E getFirst(); // peeks at minimum element
    public E remove(); // removes + returns min element
    public void add(E value); // adds an element
    public boolean isEmpty();
    public int size();
    public void clear();
}
```


## Notes on PQ Interface

- Unlike previous structures, we do not extend any other interfaces
- Many reasons: For example, it's not clear that there's an obvious iteration order
- PriorityQueue stores Comparables: methods consume Comparable parameters and return
Comparable values
- Could be made to use Comparators instead...


## Implementing PQs

- Queue?
- Wouldn't work so well because we can't insert and remove in the "right" way (i.e., keeping things ordered)
- OrderedVector?
- Like a normal Vector, but no add(int i)
- Instead, add(Object o) places o at proper location according to the ordering of all objects in the Vector
- $O(n)$ to add/remove from vector
- Details in book...
- Can we do better than $O(n)$ ?
- Heap!
- Partially ordered binary tree

