

# CSCI 136

## Data Structures & Advanced Programming

Lecture 27

Fall 2018

Instructors: 

# Last Time

- Introduction To Graphs
  - Definitions and Properties: Undirected Graphs

# Today's Outline

- More on Graphs
  - Applications and Problems
    - Testing connectedness
    - Counting connected components
      - Breadth-first and Depth-first search
  - Directed Graphs
    - Definition and Properties
    - Reachability and (Strong) Connectedness
- Graph Data Structures: Preliminaries
  - Graph Interface

# Reachability and Connectedness

- Def'n: A vertex  $v$  in  $G$  is *reachable* from a vertex  $u$  in  $G$  if there is a path from  $u$  to  $v$
- $v$  is reachable from  $u$  *iff*  $u$  is reachable from  $v$
- Def'n: An undirected graph  $G$  is *connected* if for every pair of vertices  $u, v$  in  $G$ ,  $v$  is reachable from  $u$  (and vice versa)
- The set of all vertices reachable from  $v$ , along with all edges of  $G$  connecting any two of them, is called the *connected component of  $v$*

# Basic Graph Algorithms

- We'll look at a number of graph algorithms
  - Connectedness: Is  $G$  connected?
    - If not, how many *connected components* does  $G$  have?
  - Cycle testing: Does  $G$  contain a cycle?
    - Does  $G$  contain a cycle through a given vertex?
  - If the edges of  $G$  have costs:
    - What is the cheapest subgraph connecting all vertices
      - Called a *connected, spanning subgraph*
    - What is a cheapest path from  $u$  to  $v$ ?
  - And more....

# Operations on Graphs

- What are the basic operations we need to describe algorithms on graphs?
  - Given vertices  $u$  and  $v$ : are they *adjacent*?
  - Given vertex  $v$  and edge  $e$ , are they *incident*?
  - Given an edge  $e$ , get its incident vertices (*ends*)
  - How many vertices are adjacent to  $v$ ? (*degree of  $v$* )
    - The vertices adjacent to  $v$  are called its *neighbors*
  - Get a list of the vertices *adjacent* to  $v$ 
    - From which we can get the edges *incident* with  $v$

# Testing Connectedness

- How can we determine whether  $G$  is connected?
  - Pick a vertex  $v$ ; see if every vertex  $u$  is reachable from  $v$
- How could we do this?
  - Visit the neighbors of  $v$ , then visit their neighbors, etc. See if we reach all vertices
    - Assume we can mark a vertex as “visited”
- How do we manage all of this visiting?
  - Let’s try an example...

# Reachability: Breadth-First Search

```
BFS(G, v)    // Do a breadth-first search of G starting at v  
// pre: all vertices are marked as unvisited  
count ← 0;  
Create empty queue Q; enqueue v; mark v as visited; count++  
While Q isn't empty  
    current ← Q.dequeue();  
    for each unvisited neighbor u of current:  
        add u to Q; mark u as visited; count++  
return count;
```

Now compare value returned from  $\text{BFS}(G,v)$  to size of  $V$



# BFS Reflections

- The BFS algorithm traced out a tree  $T_v$ : the edges connecting a visited vertex to (as yet) unvisited neighbors
- $T_v$  is called a *BFS tree of  $G$  with root  $v$  (or from  $v$ )*
- The vertices of  $T_v$  are visited in *level-order*
- This reveals a natural measure of distance between vertices: the length of (any) shortest path between the vertices

# Distance in Undirected Graphs

Def: The *distance* between two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in an undirected graph  $G=(V,E)$  is the minimum of the path lengths over all  $u$ - $v$  paths.

- It is the depth of  $u$  in  $T_v$ : a BFS tree from  $v$
- We write it as  $d(u,v)$ . It satisfies the properties
  - $d(u,u) = 0$ , for all  $u \in V$
  - $d(u,v) = d(v,u)$ , for all  $u,v \in V$
  - $d(u,v) \leq d(u,w) + d(w,v)$ , for all  $u,v,w \in V$
- This last property is call the *triangle inequality*

# Reachability: Depth-First Search

```
DFS(G, v)    // Do a depth-first search of G starting at v  
// pre: all vertices are marked as unvisited  
count ← 0;  
Create empty stack S; push v; mark v as visited; count++;  
While S isn't empty  
    current ← S.pop();  
    for each unvisited neighbor u of current :  
        add u to S; mark u as visited; count++  
return count;
```

Now compare value returned from  $DFS(G,v)$  to size of  $V$

# DFS Reflections

- The DFS algorithm traced out a tree different from that produced by BFS
  - It still consists of the edges connecting a visited vertex to (as yet) unvisited neighbors
- It is called a *DFS tree of  $G$  with root  $v$  (or from  $v$ )*
- Vertices are processed in pre-order w.r.t. the tree
- By manipulating the stack differently, we could produce a post-order version of DFS
- And perhaps write DFS recursively....

# Recursive Depth-First Search

*// Before first call to DFS, set all vertices to unvisited*

*// Then call DFS(G, v)*

*DFS(G, v)*

*Mark v as visited; count = 1;*

*for each unvisited neighbor u of v:*

*count += DFS(G, u);*

*return count;*

Is it even clear that this method does what we want?!

Let's prove some facts about it....

# Recursive Depth-First Search

Claim: DFS visits all vertices  $w$  reachable from  $v$

- Proof: Induction on length  $d$  of shortest path from  $v$  to  $w$ 
  - Base case:  $d = 0$ : Then  $v = w$  ✓
  - Ind. Hyp.: Assume DFS visits all vertices  $w$  of distance at most  $d$  from  $v$  (for some  $d \geq 0$ ).
  - Ind. Step: Suppose now that  $w$  is distance  $d+1$  from  $v$ . Consider a path of length  $d+1$  from  $v$  to  $w$  and let  $u$  be the next-to-last vertex on the path

# Recursive Depth-First Search

Claim: DFS visits all vertices  $w$  reachable from  $v$

- Proof: Induction on length  $d$  of shortest path from  $v$  to  $w$ 
  - The path is  $v = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d = u, v_{d+1} = w$ 
    - The edges are implied so not explicitly written!
  - By Ind. Hyp.,  $u$  is visited. At this point, if  $w$  has not yet been visited, it will be one of the unvisited vertices on which DFS() is recursively called, so it will then be visited.

# Recursive Depth-First Search

Claim: DFS visits *only* vertices reachable from  $v$

- Idea: Prove by induction on number of times DFS is called that DFS is only called on vertices  $w$  reachable from  $v$

Claim: DFS counts correctly the number of vertices reachable from  $v$

- Idea: Induction on number of unvisited vertices reachable from  $v$ 
  - DFS will never be called on same vertex twice



# Recursive Depth-First Search

Claim:  $\text{DFS}(G,v)$  returns the number of unvisited nodes reachable from  $v$

Proof: Uses previous two observations

- DFS visits every node reachable from  $v$
- DFS doesn't visit any node *not* reachable from  $v$