CSCI 136 Data Structures & Advanced Programming

> Lecture 25 Fall 2017 Instructor: B<sup>2</sup>

### Last Time

- Binary search trees (Ch 14)
  - The *locate* method
  - Further Implementation

# Today's Outline

- Binary search trees (Ch 14)
  - Tree balancing to maintain small height
    - AVL Trees
  - Partial taxonomy of balanced tree species
  - Red-Black Trees
  - Splay Trees

# But What About Height?

- Can we design a binary search tree that is always "shallow"?
- Yes! In many ways. Here's one
- AVL trees
  - Named after its two inventors, G.M. Adelson-Velsky and E.M. Landis, who published a paper about AVL trees in 1962 called "An algorithm for the organization of information"



#### **AVL Trees**

- Balance Factor of a binary tree node:
  - height of right subtree minus height of left subtree.
  - A node with balance factor 1, 0, or -1 is considered balanced.
  - A node with any other balance factor is considered unbalanced and requires rebalancing the tree.
- Definition: An AVL Tree is a binary tree in which every node is balanced.

# AVL Trees have O(log n) Height

Theorem: An AVL tree on n nodes has height O(log n)

Proof idea

- Show that an AVL tree of height h has at least fib(h) nodes (easy induction proof---try it!)
- Recall (HW):  $fib(h) \ge (3/2)^h$  if  $h \ge 10$
- So  $n \ge (3/2)^h$  and thus  $\log_{3/2} n \ge h$ 
  - Recall that for any a, b > 0,  $\log_a n = \frac{\log_b n}{\log_b a}$
  - So  $\log_a n$  and  $\log_b n$  are Big-O of one another
- So h is O(log n)

#### Single Rotation



Unbalanced trees can be rotated to achieve balance.

#### Single Right Rotation



9

#### **Double Rotation**



### **AVL Tree Facts**

- A tree that is AVL except at root, where root balance factor equals ±2 can be rebalanced with at most 2 rotations
- add(v) requires at most O(log n) balance factor changes and one (single or double) rotation to restore AVL structure
- remove(v) requires at most O(log n) balance factor changes and (single or double) rotations to restore AVL structure
- An AVL tree on n nodes has height O(log n)

# AVL Trees: One of Many

There are many strategies for tree balancing to preserve O(log n) height, including

- AVL Trees: guaranteed O(log n) height
- Red-black trees: guaranteed O(log n) height
- B-trees (not binary): guaranteed O(log n) height
  - 2-3 trees, 2-3-4 trees, red-black 2-3-4 trees, ...
- Splay trees: Amortized O(log n) time operations
- Randomized trees: O(log n) expected height



### **Red-Black Trees**

Red-Black trees, like AVL, guarantee shallowness

- Each node is colored red or black
- Coloring satisfies these rules
  - All empty trees are black
    - We consider them to be the leaves of the tree
  - Children of red nodes are black
  - All paths from a given node to it's descendent leaves have the same number of black nodes
    - This is called the *black height* of the node



### **Red-Black Trees**

- The coloring rules lead to the following result
- Proposition: No leaf has depth more than twice that of any other leaf.
- This in turn can be used to show
- Theorem: A Red-Black tree with n internal nodes has height satisfying  $h \le 2\log(n+1)$ 
  - Note: The tree will have exactly n+1 (empty) leaves
    - since each internal node has two children

#### **Red-Black Trees**

Theorem: A Red-Black tree with n internal nodes has height satisfying  $h \le 2\log(n+1)$ 

Proof sketch: Note: we count empty tree nodes!

- If root is red, recolor it black.
- Now merge red children into (black) parents
  - Now n'  $\leq$  n nodes and height h'  $\geq$  h/2
- New tree has all children with degree 2, 3, or 4
  - All leaves have depth exactly h' and there are n+1 leaves

• So 
$$n + 1 \ge 2^{h'}$$
, so  $\log_2(n + 1) \ge h' \ge \frac{h}{2}$ 

• Thus  $2 \log_2(n+1) \ge h$ 

Corollary: R-B trees with n nodes have height O(log n)



Black empty leaves not drawn. 7 just added Black-height still 2.

Black height still 2, color violation moved up





Right rotation at 20, black height broken, need to recolor



Color conditions restored, black-height restored.

# Splay Trees

Splay trees are self-adjusting binary trees

- Each time a node is accessed, it is moved to root position via rotations
- No guarantee of balance (or shallow height)
- But good *amortized* performance

Theorem: Any set of m operations (add, remove, contains, get) on an n-node splay tree take at most O(m log n) time.

# Splay Tree Rotations

Right Zig Rotation (left version too)



Right Zig-Zig Rotation (left version too)



Right Zig-Zag Rotation (left version too)



# Splay Tree Iterator

- Even contains method changes splay tree shape
- This breaks the standard in-order iterator!
  - Because the stack is based on the shape of the tree
- Solution: Remove the stack from the iterator
- Observation: Given location of current node (node whose value is next to be returned), we can compute it's (in-order)successor in *next()*
  - It's either left-most leaf of right child of current, or
  - It's closest "left-ancestor" of current
    - Ancestor whose left child is also an ancestor of current
- Also, reset must "re-find" root
  - Idea: Hold a single "reference" node, use it to find root