

CSCI 136
Data Structures &
Advanced Programming

Lecture 11

Fall 2017

Instructors: Bills

Administrative Details

- Lab 4 will be available online this afternoon
 - Partner? Submit I folder
- Problem Set I due Thursday by 11:00pm
 - In Instructor cubby outside of TCL 303

Last Time

- Comparing Complexity of List Operations on Vectors and Linked Lists
- Recursion and Induction

Today's Outline

- More about Mathematical Induction
 - For algorithm run-time and correctness
- More About Recursion
 - Recursion on arrays; helper methods
 - Recursion on Chains
- Strong Induction
- Linear and Binary Searching review

Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots$ Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that

1. $P(0)$ is true, and
2. For all $n \geq 0$, if $P(n)$ is true, then so is $P(n+1)$.

Then all of the statements are true!

Note: Often Property 2 is stated as

2. For all $n > 0$, if $P(n-1)$ is true, then so is $P(n)$.

Apology: I do this a lot, as you'll see on future slides!

Form of Induction Proof

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots$ Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false.

- Show that Base Case $P(0)$ is true
- Show that for any $n \geq 0$
 - If $P(n)$ is true (Induction Hypothesis)
 - Then $P(n+1)$ must be true (Induction Step)

If this can be shown, then each $P(n)$ ($n \geq 0$) is true

Mathematical Induction

- Prove: $\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i = 2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$
- Prove: $0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + n^3 = (0 + 1 + \dots + n)^2$

Proof: $0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + n^3 = (0 + 1 + \dots + n)^2$

Base case: $n = 0$

- LHS: $0^3 = 0$
- RHS: $(0)^2 = 0 \checkmark$

Induction Hypothesis: Assume that for some $n > 0$,

$$0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + (n - 1)^3 = (0 + 1 + \dots + (n - 1))^2$$


Induction Step: Show that


$$0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + n^3 = (0 + 1 + \dots + n)^2$$

Proof: $0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + n^3 = (0 + 1 + \dots + n)^2$

Note: I'm just doing the induction step: $n-1 \rightarrow n$ version

$$0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + n^3 = (0^3 + 1^3 + \dots + (n-1)^3 + n^3)$$

Induction  $= (0 + 1 + \dots + (n-1))^2 + n^3$

Algebra  $= \left(\frac{(n-1)n}{2} \right)^2 + n^3$

$$= n^2 \left(\frac{(n-1)^2 + 4n}{4} \right)$$

$$= n^2 \left(\frac{n^2 + 2n + 1}{4} \right)$$

$$= n^2 \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{4} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right)^2$$

$$= (0 + 1 + \dots + n)^2$$

Form of Induction Proof

We don't have to start at $n = 0$!

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let $P(k), P(k+1), P(k+2), \dots$ Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false.

- Show that Base Case $P(k)$ is true
- Show that for any $n \geq k$
 - If $P(n)$ is true (Induction Hypothesis)
 - Then $P(n+1)$ must be true (Induction Step)

If this can be shown, then each $P(n)$ ($n \geq k$) is true

Examples (Try These at Home!)

Show that the angles of any n -sided polygon add up to $\pi(n - 2)$.

Note: $n \geq 3$, so base case is $n=3$

Show that if there are at least 6 people at a party, then either there are 3 mutual acquaintances or three mutual strangers.

Base case is $n = 6$

The induction step should be trivial!

What about Recursion?

- What does induction have to do with recursion?
 - Same form!
 - Base case
 - Inductive case that uses simpler form of problem
- Example: factorial
 - Prove that $\text{fact}(n)$ requires n multiplications
 - Base case: $n = 0$ returns 1, so 0 multiplications
 - Assume for some $n \geq 0$ that $\text{fact}(n)$ requires n multiplications.
 - $\text{fact}(n+1)$ performs one multiplication: $(n+1) * \text{fact}(n)$.
 - We know that $\text{fact}(n)$ requires n multiplications.
 - So $\text{fact}(n+1)$ requires (exactly) $n+1$ multiplications.

Recursive contains() for Vector

```
public boolean contains(E elt) {  
    return contains(elt, 0, size()-1); }  

```

```
// Helper method: returns true if elt has index in range from..to  
public boolean contains(E elt, int from, int to) {  
    if (from > to)  
        return false; // Base case: empty range  
    else  
        return elt.equals(elementData[from]) ||  
            contains(elt, from+1, to);  
}
```

- What's the time complexity of contains?
 - $O(\text{to} - \text{from} + 1) = O(n)$ (n is the portion of the array searched)
 - Prove by induction on n
- Often recursive methods on arrays use helper methods
 - They pass a pair of indices as parameters

Design Decision: Chains vs Nodes

- SLL and DLL used a simple Node model
- We could push more of the work down to the “Node” level
- A Chain object contains a value and a reference to “the rest of the chain”
- We can now implement many methods recursively and elegantly
- Uses a “dummy” node for empty chain
 - So an empty Chain is not a null value
- Let’s look at some code....

A Proof About Chains

Prove: `deleteDuplicates()` is correct

- Base Case: $n = 0$: Empty List is returned ✓
- Induction Hypothesis: For some $n \geq 0$, the method is correct
- Induction Step: Show it is correct for $n+1$

```
Chain<E> result = rest.deleteDuplicates();  
if(rest.contains(value)) return result;  
else return new Chain<E>(value, result);
```
- By I.H. result is rest without duplicates
- If statement only includes (first) value if it is not a duplicate of something in rest. ✓

Counting Method Calls

- Example: Fibonacci
 - Prove that for $n \geq 0$ $\text{fib}(n)$ makes at least F_n calls to $\text{fib}()$, where F_n is the n^{th} Fibonacci number
 - Base cases: $n = 0$: 1 call; $n = 1$: 1 call
 - Assume that for some $n \geq 2$, $\text{fib}(n-1)$ makes at least F_{n-1} calls to $\text{fib}()$ and $\text{fib}(n-2)$ makes at least F_{n-2} calls to $\text{fib}()$.
 - Claim: Then $\text{fib}(n)$ makes at least F_n calls to $\text{fib}()$
 - 1 initial call: $\text{fib}(n)$
 - By induction: At least $\text{fib}(n-1)$ calls for $\text{fib}(n-1)$
 - And at least $\text{fib}(n-2)$ calls for $\text{fib}(n-2)$
 - Total: $1 + \text{fib}(n-1) + \text{fib}(n-2) > \text{fib}(n-1) + \text{fib}(n-2) = \text{fib}(n)$ calls
 - Note: Need two base cases!
 - One can show by induction that for $n > 10$: $\text{fib}(n) > (1.5)^n$
 - Thus the number of calls grows exponentially!

Mathematical Induction : Version 2

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Weak)

Let P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that

1. P_0 and P_1 are true, and
2. For all $n \geq 2$, if P_{n-1} and P_{n-2} are true, then so is P_n .

Then all of the statements are true!

Other versions:

- Can have $k > 2$ base cases
- Doesn't need to start at 0

Example: Binary Search

- Given an array `a[]` of positive integers in increasing order, and an integer `x`, find location of `x` in `a[]`.
 - Take “indexOf” approach: return -1 if `x` is not in `a[]`

```
protected static int recBinarySearch(int a[], int value,
                                     int low, int high) {
    if (low > high) return -1;
    else {
        int mid = (low + high) / 2;           //find midpoint
        if (a[mid] == value) return mid;     //first comparison
                                           //second comparison
        else if (a[mid] < value)             //search upper half
            return recBinarySearch(a, value, mid + 1, high);
        else                                  //search lower half
            return recBinarySearch(a, value, low, mid - 1);
    }
}
```

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

Can we use induction to prove the following?

- Claim: If $n = \text{high} - \text{low} + 1$, then `recBinSearch` performs at most $c(1 + \log n)$ operations, where c is *twice* the number of statements in `recBinSearch`
- Base case: $n = 1$: Then $\text{low} = \text{high}$ so only c statements execute (method runs twice) and $c \leq c(1 + \log 1)$
- Assume that claim holds for some $n \geq 1$, does it hold for $n+1$? [Note: $n+1 > 1$, so $\text{low} < \text{high}$]
- Problem: Recursive call is *not* on n ---it's on $n/2$.
- Solution: We need a better version of the PMI....

Strong Mathematical Induction

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Let $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots$ Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false. Suppose that, for some $a \geq 0$

1. $P(0), P(1), \dots, P(a)$ are true, and
2. For every $n \geq a$, if $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(n)$ are true, then so is $P(n+1)$.

Then all of the statements are true!

Form of Strong Induction Proof

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Strong)

Let $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots$ Be a sequence of statements, each of which could be either true or false.

- Show that Base Cases $P(0), P(1), \dots P(a)$ are true
- Show that for any $n \geq a$
 - If $P(0), P(1), \dots P(n)$ are true (Induction Hypothesis)
 - Then $P(n+1)$ must be true (Induction Step)

If this can be shown, then each $P(n)$ ($n \geq 0$) is true

Binary Search takes $O(\log n)$ Time

Try again now:

- Assume that for some $n \geq 1$, the claim holds *for all* $k \leq n$, does claim hold for $n+1$?
- Yes! Either
 - $x = a[\text{mid}]$, so a constant number of operations are performed, or
 - RecBinSearch is called on a sub-array of size $n/2$, and by induction, at most $c(1 + \log(n/2))$ operations are performed.
 - This gives a total of at most $c + c(1 + \log(n/2)) = c + c(\log(2) + \log(n/2)) = c + c(\log n) = c(1 + \log n)$ statements